to lithium bromide (ca. 500 mg ) in dry toluene ( 3 mL ). This mixture was kept at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $48 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis of the filtered mixture revealed no reaction.

3,7-Dimethyl-1,6,7-octanetriol (54) was prepared ( $60 \%$ yield) from the reaction of citronellol $(16.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.104 \mathrm{~mol})$ with formic acid ( $63 \mathrm{~mL}, 88 \%$, 1.43 mol ) and hydrogen peroxide ( $30 \%, 14.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) followed with base hydrolysis: bp $145-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(1.0\right.$ torr [lit. ${ }^{79} \mathrm{bp} 160-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2$ torr $)$ ]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 1.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99-1.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 2.66-3.44$ (brs, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 3.68\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$.

3,7-Dimethyl-6,7-epoxyoctan-1-ol (55) was prepared ( $30 \%$ yield) by oxidation of citronellol $(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.032 \mathrm{~mol})$ with $m$-CPBA $(6.88 \mathrm{~g}, 0.032$ mol): bp $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(0.05\right.$ torr [lit. $.^{80} \mathrm{bp} 104-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2.5$ torr $)$ ]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.30$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) $, 1.40-1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 2.30-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$, $\mathrm{CHO}), 3.65\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$.

1-Methylcyclohexane-trans-1,2-diol (58) was prepared (40\% yield) from the oxidation of 1 -methylcyclohexane $(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.104 \mathrm{~mol})$ with formic acid ( $63 \mathrm{~mL}, 88 \%, 1.43 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.104 \mathrm{~mol})$ followed with base hydrolysis: $\mathrm{mp} 83-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left[\mathrm{lit}^{81} \mathrm{mp} 83-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.22-2.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.80$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COH}$ ), 3.50 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}$ ).

1-Methylcyclohexene $\mathbf{1 , 2}$-oxide ( $\mathbf{5 8}$ ) was prepared ( $16 \%$ yield) by oxidation of 1 -methylcyclohexene $(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.052 \mathrm{~mol})$ with $m$-CPBA ( $11.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.052 \mathrm{~mol}$ ): bp $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(35\right.$ torr) $\left[\right.$ lit. ${ }^{82} \mathrm{bp} 60-61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(40$ torr $)$ ]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 59.51\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 57.44\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 23.96\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)^{*}, 24.83\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{*}$, $20.10\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 19.68\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 29.93\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$. For procedures for assigning the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR resonances, see ref 83. The asterisk (*) indicates that these assignments may be interchangeable.

9,10-Dihydrophenanthrene-9,10-diol (66) was prepared ( $19 \%$ yield) by reduction of phenanthrenequinone ( $12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.058 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(6 \mathrm{~g}$ in 1 L of ether: $\mathrm{mp} 193-194^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{58 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{mp} 185-190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.47$ (brs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), $5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}), 7.27-7.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.76$ (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}), 7.79$ (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $2,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 72.37(\mathrm{CHOH}), 123.39,126.45$, 127.95, 132.36, 138.19 .

9,10-Epoxy-9,10-dihydrophenanthrene (65): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar CH$), 7.52(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{ArCH}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 55.69(\mathrm{CHO}), 123.96,128.24$, 129.50, 131.13, 131.79.
(79) Teisseire, P.; Corbier, B. Recherches 1963, No. 13, 78-91; Chem. Abstr. 1963, 6l, 7048g.
(80) Naves, Y.-R.; Tullen, P. Helv. Chim. Acta 1961, 1867.
(81) Rosowsky, A.; Tarbell, D. S. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 2255
(82) Joshi, V. S.; Damodoran, N. P.; Dev, S. Tetrahedron 1968, 24, 5817-5830.
(83) Paulson, O. R.; Tang, F. Y. N.; Moran, G. F.; Murray, A. S.; Pelka, B. P.; Vasquez, E. M. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 184.
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#### Abstract

A convergent, 12 -step synthesis of racemic tirandamycin $\mathbf{A}$ is described. The key features of the synthesis are preparation of the 2,9-dioxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane system of the natural product by oxidation of furfuryl alcohol 8a and attachment of the 3 -acyl tetramic acid moiety via the dianion of phosphonate $\mathbf{4 b}$.


Tirandamycin $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1})^{1}$ is a member of the 3 -dienoyl tetramic acid family of antibiotics. This family of antibiotics includes several structurally similar substances such as tirandamycin $\mathbf{B}^{2}$

[^0](2), streptolydigin, ${ }^{12,3}$ nocamycin, ${ }^{4}$ and $\mathrm{Bu}-2313 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{B} .{ }^{5}$ These substances display a diversity of biological activities. For instance,
(3) (a) Gross structure: Rinehart, K. L., Jr.; Borders, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 4035, 4037. Rinehart, K. L., Jr.; Beck, J. R.; Borders, D. B.; Kinstle, T. W.; Kraus, D. Ibid. 1963, 85, 4038. (b) Absolute stereochemistry: Duchamp, D. J.; Branfman, A. R.; Button, A. C.; Rinehart, K. L., Jr. Ibid. 1973, 95, 4077.
(4) Horvath, G.; Brazhnikova, M. G.; Konstantinova, N. V.; Tolstykh. I. V.; Potapova, N. P. J. Antibiot. 1979, 32, 555.

Scheme I

tirandamycin A has been shown to possess antimicrobial activity ${ }^{6}$ and inhibitory activity against bacterial DNA-directed RNA polymerase. ${ }^{7}$

We have recently embarked upon the development of a general strategy for the synthesis of the diverse members of the 3-dienoyl tetramic acid family of antibiotics and chose to initially demonstrate the viability of the strategy by preparing tirandamycin A. The plan required that the penultimate step in the total synthesis be the coupling of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde $\mathbf{3}$ with the dianion of phosphonate tetramic acid $\mathbf{4 a}$. We previously reported a method for the preparation of phosphonate $\mathbf{4 a},{ }^{8,9}$ and have demonstrated that furfuryl alcohol derivatives such as 5 could be elaborated into 2,9-dioxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane systems similar to those found in tirandamycin A and related natural products. ${ }^{10,11}$ In this paper we report the successful application of the convergent strategy outlined in Scheme I to the total synthesis of tirandamycin A.

Lithiation of 2,3-dimethylfuran ${ }^{12}$ (6) and condensation with aldehyde $7^{13}$ gave a $1: 1$ mixture of $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-alcohols $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ in $75 \%$ yield. Attempts to improve the stereoselectivity of the condensation by either (1) using furyl copper, zirconium, magnesium, or zinc reagents or (2) the use of additives in conjunction with the aldehyde were unsuccessful. Aldehyde 7 was prone to both $\alpha$-epimerization and $\beta$-elimination of the siloxy substituent.
(5) Nakagawa, S.; Naito, T.; Kawaguchi, H. Heterocycles 1979, 13, 477. Tsukiura, H.; Tomita, K.; Hanada, M.; Kobaru, S.; Tsunakawa, M.; Fujisawa, K.; Kawaguchi, H. J. Anlibiot. 1980, 33, 157. Tsunakawa, M.; Toda, S.; Okita, T.; Hanada, M.; Nakagawa, S.; Tsukiura, H.; Naito, T.; Kawaguchi, H. Ibid. 1980, 33, 166.
(6) DeBoer, C.; Dietz, A.; Silver, W. S.; Savage, G. M. Antibiot. Annu. 1955-1956, 866. Meyer, C. E. J. Antibiol. 1971, 24, 558.
(7) Reusser, F. Infect. Immun. 1970, 2, 77, and references cited therein.
(8) DeShong, P.; Lowmaster, N. E.; Baralt, O. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1149.
(9) Phosphonate tetramic acid 4 a has been independently synthesized by Professor Boeckmann's group: Boeckmann, R. K., Jr.; Thomas, A. J. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 2823. See also: Schlessinger, R. H.; Beberwitz, G. R. Ibid., in press.
(10) (a) DeShong, P.; Ramesh, S.; Perez, J. J.; Bodish, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2243. (b) DeShong, P.; Ramesh, S.; Perez, J. J. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2117.
(11) Several approaches to the total synthesis of tirandamycin A have appeared. See: Ireland, R. E.; Wuts, P. G. M.; Ernst, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3205. Ziegler, F. E.; Thottathil, J. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4883. Ziegler, F. E.; Wester, R. T. Ibid. 1984, 25, 617. Martin, S. F.; Gluchowski, C.; Campbell, C. L.; Chapman, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2512. Schlessinger, R. H.; Beberwitz, G. R.; Lin, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1777.
(12) Rice, K. C.; Dyer, J. R., Jr. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1975, 12, 1325.
(13) Aldehyde 7 occupies a critical niche in our generalized strategy for the synthesis of the 3 -dienoyl tetramic acid family of antibiotics because it allows introduction of three of the four contiguous asymmetric centers found in all members of this family as a single entity. The preparation of aldehyde 7 is described in ref $10 b$.

Therefore, the condensation reaction had to be carefully monitored to avoid these side reactions. The $\beta$-alcohol $\mathbf{8 b}$ could be recycled by a two-step process involving barium manganate oxidation ${ }^{14}$ to give ketone $9(80 \%)$ followed by reduction with $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{4}{ }^{15}(80 \%)$ to produce a $1: 1.7$ mixture of $8 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{b}^{16}$ (Scheme II).

Oxidation of the furan ring of alcohol 8a with $m-\mathrm{CPBA}^{17}$ gave pyranone 10 in $90 \%$ yield. Removal of the silyl ether protecting group from 10 and concomitant acid-catalyzed ketalization to produce bicyclic ketal 11 could be accomplished in two ways. Treatment of pyranone 10 with $\mathrm{BF}_{3}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave bicyclic enone 11 in $65 \%$ yield. Alternatively, pyranone 10 could be converted into enone 11 in $70 \%$ yield when treated with $5 \% \mathrm{HF}$ in acetonitrile for 1 h . The latter method, however, had to be carefully monitored since prolonged treatment of $\mathbf{1 1}$ with HF resulted in the rearrangement of $\mathbf{1 1}$ to lactone $\mathbf{1 2}$ (vide infra).

Oxidation of furan $\beta$-alcohol $\mathbf{8 b}$ with $m$-CPBA followed by treatment of the resulting pyranone with aqueous HF in acetonitrile led to rapid ( $<1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) formation of lactone 12. The relative stereochemistry at the three contiguous asymmetric centers of the tetrahydrofuran ring cannot be unambiguously assigned from analysis of the $360-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. However, we speculate that $\mathbf{1 2}$ possesses the indicated relative stereochemistry on mechanistic grounds. Lactone $\mathbf{1 2}$ was produced by acid treatment of both pyranone 10 and 13 , which differ only in configuration at $\mathrm{C}-5$ of the pyranone ring. Pyranone 10 required 15 h for complete conversion to lactone 12, while pyranone 13 rearranged in less than an hour under the same conditions, suggesting that pyranone 13 already possessed the $\mathrm{C}-5$ configuration required in the rearrangement. Pyranone 10, on the other hand, had to first undergo slow, acid-catalyzed epimerization at C-5 before it was able to rearrange to the lactone.

Treatment of pyranone 13 with $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ did not result in formation of lactone $\mathbf{1 2}$; instead, bicyclic enone 14 was produced in $61 \%$ yield. Unlike bicyclic enone 11 which has the C-3 and $\mathrm{C}-4$ substituents equatorially disposed on the chair dioxolane ring, enone 14 would have these substituents in axial orientations if the dioxolane ring was in the chair conformation (conformation A, Scheme III). Conformation A would experience severe 1,3-diaxial interactions with the enone bridge. These unfavorable interactions are absent in boat conformation B. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR coupling pattern

[^1]Sclieme II


12
${ }^{a} t$ - BuLi, TMEDA, ether, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b} m$ - $\mathrm{CPBA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{c} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \quad{ }^{d} 5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HF} / \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h} . \quad{ }^{e} 5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HF} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h}$.

Scheme III


${ }^{a} m$ - $\mathrm{CPBA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \quad{ }^{b} 5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HF} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{c} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Scheme IV



```
21: R \(=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \phi\) ( OMe\()_{2}\)
```

    I : R:H
    ${ }^{a} \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{CeCl}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, room temp. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{NH}_{3},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{c} m-\mathrm{CPBA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temp. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{PDC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temp. ${ }^{e}$ Crotyl bromide, $\mathrm{CrCl}_{2}$, THF, room temp. $f_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{g}{ }^{2} p$ - $\mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{PhH}$, reflux. ${ }^{h}$ Phosphonate $4 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{KO}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}(2 \mathrm{equiv}$ ), THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{i} \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, room temp.
of the C-3, C-4, C-5 protons of enone $\mathbf{1 4}$ clearly confirmed that conformation B had been adopted. ${ }^{18}$

Direct introduction of the epoxide moiety into enone 11 could not be accomplished in good yield, so an indirect method was developed (Scheme IV). Enone 11 was reduced with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} /$ $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}{ }^{19}(82 \%)$ to give allylic alcohol 15 in which the hydride was introduced exclusively onto the exo face of the carbonyl group. ${ }^{18,20}$ Removal of the benzyl ether of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (85\%) gave diol 16 which underwent facile epoxidation with $m$-CPBA to give epoxy diol $\mathbf{1 7}$ in $81 \%$ yield. As expected, the reagent had approached selectively from the exo face of the bicyclo[3.3.1] system. ${ }^{20}$ The assignment of epoxide stereochemistry was confirmed by oxidation of diol 17 with 7 equiv of PDC to give ketoaldehyde 18 , which was identical spectroscopically with the aldehyde obtained from ozonolysis of tirandamycin A. ${ }^{21}$

Homologation of 18 was accomplished by treatment of the aldehyde with 1.2 equiv of crotylchromium ${ }^{22}$ to produce a mixture of diastereomeric homoallylic alcohols 19 in 79\% yield. Ozonolysis of the alcohol mixture followed by dehydration of the resulting epimeric $\beta$-hydroxy aldehydes gave $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes 3 and 20 in a 1.6:1 ratio in $82 \%$ overall yield from 19. The major enal produced in the elimination reaction was identical with the compound obtained from the ozonolysis of natural tirandamycin A. ${ }^{21}$

[^2]Once the enal 3 had been synthesized, the stage was set for the completion of the total synthesis of tirandamycin A as outlined in Scheme I. However, all attempts to induce the dianion of 4 a to undergo condensation with enal 3 were unsuccessful, even though a diversity of conditions was studied. Model studies in this laboratory demonstrated that the failure of the condensation reaction could be attributed to the lack of a substituent on the tetramic acid nitrogen. We observed that tetramic acid phosphonates similar to $\mathbf{4 a}$ which carry an alkyl group on the tetramic acid nitrogen underwent condensation reactions in excellent yields. ${ }^{23}$ Thus, the dianion of $\mathbf{4 b}{ }^{9}$ reacted with enal $\mathbf{3}$ to produce tetramic acid 21 in $77 \%$ yield. The trans stereochemistry of the newly formed olefinic bond was indicated by the large coupling constant ( $J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 21. ( $\pm$ )-Tirandamycin A was obtained in $90 \%$ yield by brief treatment of $\mathbf{2 1}$ with neat trifluoroacetic acid at room temperature. The synthetic material was identical spectroscopically and chromatographically with a sample of natural tirandamycin A.
The convergent, 12 -step synthesis of tirandamycin A outlined in this report proves the viability of our strategy for the synthesis of the 3 -dienoyl tetramic acid family of antibiotics. The application of this methodology to the synthesis of related natural products is underway and will be reported in due course.

## Experimental Section

Formation of Pyranone 10. $m$-Chloroperbenzoic acid ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10$ mmol ) was added to a solution of hydroxyfuran $8 \mathbf{a a b}^{10 \mathrm{~b}}$ ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , the reaction mixture was washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 20 $\mathrm{mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude pyranone was purified by PLC ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 4: 1$ hexane/EtOAc) to give 42 mg ( $90 \%$ ) of pyranone 10: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3590(\mathrm{w}), 3350(\mathrm{bd}$, w), 3090-3030 (w), $2960-2860$ (vs), 1685 (vs), 1040 (w), 1120-1000 (vs), 895 (s); ${ }^{1}{ }^{H}$ NMR

[^3]$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 0.76(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9), 1.06$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.79(\mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{s}, 1), 2.02(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.07-2.19 (m, 1), 2.49-2.65 (m, 1), 3.32 (t, 1, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.66 (dd, 1 , $J=9,5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=8,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.46(\mathrm{~A}$ of $\mathrm{ABq}, 1, J=12,4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.53(\mathrm{~B}$ of ABq, $1, J=12,4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.91(\mathrm{~d}$, $1, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5)$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative intensity) 387 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-75,8\right), 91(100), 57(24), 49(40), 28(66)$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ 462.2784 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}, 462.2801$ ).

Formation of Enone 11 Using HF. The pyranone 10 ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091$ mmol ) from the previous reaction was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 9 mL ) and transferred to a polyethylene tube. Aqueous HF ( $53 \%$ solution, 1 mL ) was added and the resulting yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The solution was heated with satd $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by PLC $(0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 7: 3$ hexane $/ E t-$ OAc) provided 21 mg ( $70 \%$ ) of bicyclic enone 11: $\mathrm{mp} 92-94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 3090-3040 (w), 2970-2860 (m), 1690 (vs), 1635 (w), 1455 (m), 1090-1015 (s), $870(\mathrm{w}), 675(\mathrm{~m}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.79$ (d, 3, J $=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.90(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.05(\mathrm{~m}$, 1), $2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=9,6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=12,2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.78(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=9,6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1)$, 7.34 (s, 5); mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative intensity) $330\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 312(1)$, 239 (4), 224 (7), 215 (9), 206 (3), 181 (9), 153 (8), 137 (6), 125 (21), 111 (28), 91 (100); mass spectrum, $m / z 330.1827$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, $330.1831) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 195.5,155.7,138.6,128.3,127.4,127.3$, $96.0,79.4,73.0,71.2,34.0,32.9,24.4,19.2,15.6,11.8$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 72.70 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.93$. Found: C, $72.42 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.00$.

Formation of Enone 11 Using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathbf{O} . \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of pyranone $10(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h , then quenched by the addition of $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and allowed to warm to room temperature. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by radial chromatography ( 1 mm silica gel, 9:1 hexane/ EtOAc) afforded 56 mg ( $65 \%$ ) of bicyclic enone 11 whose spectroscopic features ( $360 \mathrm{MHz}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, IR) were identical with that of bicyclic enone 11 obtained in the HF reaction.

Conversion of Enone 11 to Lactone 12. A mixture of bicyclic enone $11(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $5 \% \mathrm{HF}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 17 h . TLC indicated the appearance of a major low $R_{f}$ compound and a trace of starting enone. The low $R_{f}$ compound was isolated by PLC ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mm}, 1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc) and found to be identical ( $360-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, IR) with the lactone 12 produced in the reaction of pyranone 13 with HF.

Formation of Pyranone 13. Treatment of hydroxyfuran $8 \mathbf{b}^{10 \mathrm{~b}}$ ( 44 mg , 0.10 mmol ) with $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 15 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and workup as described above gave 40 mg ( $88 \%$ ) of pyranone 13: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3600$ (w), 3350 (bd, w), 1690 (vs).

Formation of Lactone 12 from Pyranone 13. Treatment of pyranone $13(44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $5 \% \mathrm{HF} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ as described above afforded $27 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%)$ of lactone 12 as an oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3580(\mathrm{~m}), 2900$ (s), 2870 (s), 1725 (vs), 1185 (m), 1100-1060 (s), 995 (m), 890-870 (m); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.13(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}$, $3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.63-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 2.02-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4), 2.90(\mathrm{t}, 1$, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.35(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=9,7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=10,6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86$ (dd, $1, J=10,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.03(\mathrm{t}, 1, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 5)$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative intensity) ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}-18,6$ ), 287 (3), 259 (5), 239 (10), 229 (2), 209 (1), 197 (2), 181 (6), 160 (9), 141 (8), 123 (14), 107 (11), 96 (56), 91 (100); mass spectrum, CI, $m / z 348\left(\mathbf{M}^{+}\right)$.

Formation of Enone 14 from Pyranone 13 Using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. To a cold solution ( $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of pyranone $\mathbf{1 3}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10 $\mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirred at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The reaction mixture was worked up using the procedure previously described to give 8 mg ( $61 \%$ ) of the oily bicyclic enone 14: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ $3040(\mathrm{w}), 2980(\mathrm{~m}), 2880(\mathrm{~m}), 1680(\mathrm{vs}), 1450(\mathrm{~m}), 1380(\mathrm{~m}), 1080(\mathrm{~s})$, $670(\mathrm{w}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.92(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.00-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2), 3.21(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=9$ Hz ), $3.52(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=9,5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.70(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=8,4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J$ $=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5)$; mass spectrum, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity) $330\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 273(1), 239(1), 224$ (6), 181 (7), 152 (5), 137 (5), 125 (11), 111 (31), 91 (100); mass spectrum, $m / z$ 330.1837 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 330.1831$ ).

Formation of Allylic Alcohol 15. Sodium borohydride ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42$ mmol ) was added to a solution containing enone 11 ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 0.412$ mmol ) and $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}(164 \mathrm{mg}, 0.421)$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Evolution of gas occurred and after 5 min the pH of the solution was adjusted to neutrality with dilute aqueous HCl . The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20$ $\mathrm{mL})$; the organic layers were removed, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated
in vacuo. Purification by radial chromatography ( 1 mm silica gel, $7: 3$ hexane/EtOAc) gave 111 mg ( $82 \%$ ) of allylic alcohol 15 as an oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3620$ (m), 3480 (bd, w), 3080-3030 (w), 2960-2860 (s), 1550 (s), 1370 (s), 1090 (vs), 1020 (vs), 910 (m), 720 (w); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.95$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.53$ (dd, $3, J=2$, 1 Hz ), 1.99-2.09 (m, 1), 2.23-2.35 (m, 1), $3.24(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=9,8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.66$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, 1, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 4.68(\mathrm{bds}, 1), 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=$ 1 Hz ), 7.23 ( $\mathrm{m}, 5$ ); mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative intensity) $332\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10\right)$, 125 (9), 109 (71), 91 (100); mass spectrum, $m / z 332.1985$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 332.1988$ ).

Debenzylation of $\mathbf{1 5}$ with $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ To Give Diol 16. Sodium ( 2 mg , 0.08 g equiv) was added to a solution of allylic alcohol $16(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081$ mmol) in ammonia ( 10 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min . The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. Ammonia was allowed to evaporate at room temperature and the residue was taken up in water ( 10 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 $\times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash chromatography ( 10 mm diameter, 150 mm silica gel, $3: 2$ hexane/EtOAc) gave 16 mg ( $85 \%$ ) of diol 16 as an oil: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3630(\mathrm{~m}), 3540(\mathrm{~m}), 2970-2920(\mathrm{~s}), 1380(\mathrm{~m})$, 1090-1020 (s), $910(\mathrm{w}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.12$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.63(\mathrm{dd}, 3, J=2,1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.82-1.92(\mathrm{~m}$, 1), 2.36-2.49 (m, 1), $2.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.52-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 3.87$ (dd, $2, J=11,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2), 4.79-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 5.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=1$ Hz ); mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative intensity) $242\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 16\right), 129(18), 109$ (88), 95 (13), 85 (60), 71 (33), 57 (19), 43 (71), 28 (100); mass spectrum, $m / z 242.1513$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 242.1518$ ).

Formation of Epoxy Alcohol 17. $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution ( 5 mL ) of allylic alcohol $16(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{mCPBA}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred at room temperature for 23 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $5 \% \mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $5 \%$ $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by PLC ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ silica gel; $1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc) gave $19 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%)$ of epoxy alcohol 17: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3620(\mathrm{sh}, \mathrm{m}), 3530$ (bd, m), 2940 (s), 2920 (s), 2860 (s) 1450 (s), 1370 (s), 1190 (s), 1110 (s), 1060 (vs), 1020 (s), 940 (s), $880(\mathrm{~m}), 870(\mathrm{~m}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $1.04(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3)$, $1.88-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 2.38-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 2.77(\mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{s}, 2), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 3.56$ (dd, $1, J=11,4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=11,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}$, $1, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative intensity), $130\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-128\right.$, 5), 112 (12), $98(38), 87(40), 71(26), 55(14), 43(100), 29(6)$; mass spectrum, $m / z 258.1461$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 258.1467$ ).

Formation of Aldehyde 18. Pyridinium dichromate (PDC, $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14$ mmol ) was added to a solution containing epoxy diol $17(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the suspension was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered through a bed of Celite and the residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo to give $3 \mathrm{mg}(60 \%)$ of keto epoxy aldehyde $\mathbf{1 8}$ as an oil: IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 2980-2860 (m), 2720 (w), 1730 (vs), 1460 (m), 1385 (m), $1110(\mathrm{~s}), 1065(\mathrm{~m}), 930(\mathrm{w}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.28(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.27-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 2.52-2.58$ (m, 1), 3.31 (s, 1), 3.79 (dd, $1, J=12,2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.77$ (d, $1, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative intensity $165\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-89\right.$, 6), 125 (9), 109 (9), 98 (17), 84 (27), 69 (31), 55 (7), 43 (100), 28 (8); mass spectrum, $m / z$ 254.1147. (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 254.1154$ ). Aldehyde 18 was identical in all spectroscopic features $\left(360-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR, IR) with the aldehyde produced by ozonolysis of tirandamycin A. ${ }^{21}$

Formation of Homoallylic Alcohol 19. To a suspension of anhydrous $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$ ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12$ mmol ) was added. Once the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and a solution of aldehyde $18(25 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.098 mmol ) and crotyl bromide ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ) was added over a period of 5 min . The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by PLC ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ silica gel, $7: 3$ hexane/EtOAc) gave $24 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$ of a mixture of homoallylic alcohols 19 , which were used in the next reaction without further characterization: IR (neat) 3540 (s), 3080 (m), 2980-2780 (vs), 1730 (vs), 1450 (s), 1380 (s), 1130-1100 (vs), 895 (s).

Formation of Enals 3 and 20. A $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 20 mL ) solution of homoallylic alcohols $19(9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ozone ( 0.06 mmol ) was passed through the solution via a gas dispersion tube. The ozonide was reduced by the addition of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ( 2 drops) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. Concentration of the solution in vacuo gave a residue of oily $\beta$-hydroxy aldehyde.

A solution containing $\beta$-hydroxy aldehyde and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid (one crystal) in benzene ( 5 mL ) was refluxed for 30 min . The reaction
mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by PLC ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mm}, 20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ silica gel, $7: 3$ hexane/EtOAc) to give 7 mg ( $78 \%$ ) of a $1.6: 1$ ratio of enals 3 and 20. The ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR by comparing the intensities of epoxy protons. Enal 3 and 20 could be separated by HPLC on silica (hexane-EtOAc). Enal 3: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 2980$ (m), 2930 (m), 1740 (vs), 1690 (s), 1450 (m), 1370 (m), 1230 (s), 1030 (s), $930(\mathrm{w}), 895(\mathrm{w}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $0.73(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.19$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.77(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.90-2.02$ (m, 1), 2.92-3.01 (m, 1), 3.31 (s, 1), 3.63 (dd, $1, J=12,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05$ (d, $1, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.66(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=10,1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1)$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative intensity) 255 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}-29,7$ ), 237 (1), 226 (1), 213 (2), 195 (5), 158 (10), 149 (6), 137 (6), 127 (12), 111 (18), 99 (10), 85 (44), 69 (53), 55 (29), 43 (100), 29 (12); mass spectrum, $m / z 294.1468$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}, 294.1467$ ). Enal 3 was identical in all spectroscpic features with the enal produced by ozonolysis of tirandamycin $A{ }^{21}$

A sample of enal 20 contaminated with $\sim 10 \%$ of enal 3 gave the following spectral data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.83(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.11$ (d, 3, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.22-2.35 (m, 1), 2.81-2.92 (m, 1), $3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 3.58$ $(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=11.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.59(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=9.7$, 1.4 Hz ), $9.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1)$.

Formation of Tetramic Acid 21 from EnaI 3. Phosphonate 4b was prepared according to the procedure of Schlessinger et al. ${ }^{9}$ Potassium tert-butoxide ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a THF ( 5 mL ) solution of phosphonate $\mathbf{4 b}(130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.317 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 45 min . Enal $3(51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 1 mL ) was added dropwise over a period of 10 min and the mixture was stirred for 14 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, quenched with $2 \% \mathrm{HCl}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed with water ( 15 mL ). The organic layer was removed, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by radial chromatography ( 1 mm silica gel, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) gave 58 mg ( $85 \%$ based on recovered starting enal 3) of tetramic acid 21: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3160(\mathrm{~m}), 2980-2950(\mathrm{~m}), 2860(\mathrm{w}), 1725$ (vs), 1700 (vs), 1650-1610 (bd, vs) 1460 (s), 1420 (s), 1370 (s), 1285 (s), 1250 (s), 1220 (s), 1190 (s), 1120 (s), $980(\mathrm{~m}), 960(\mathrm{~m}), 890(\mathrm{~m}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $0.71(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) 1.12(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.89$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 2.83$ (ddd, $1, J=10,7,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}$, 1), $3.56(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=12,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 4.01$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 6.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2), 7.12$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=6,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz})$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative intensity) $567\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 7\right.$ ), 445 (3), 371 (5), 333 (10), 289 (5), 233 (26), 204 (4), 197 (5), 170 (5), 152 (12), 151 (100); mass spectrum, $m / z 567.2473$ (caled for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{9}, 567.2468$ ).

Conversion of Tetramic Acid 21 to Tirandamycin A (1). Tetramic acid $21(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.041 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the resulting purple solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 min . The reaction mixture was quenched with ice, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo.

Purification by PLC ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ silica gel, $10: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ / MeOH ) afforded the sodium salt of tirandamycin A . The salt was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, quenched with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{HCl}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to give $15 \mathrm{mg}(90 \%)$ of tirandamycin A: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3440(\mathrm{sh}, \mathrm{m}), 2910(\mathrm{~m})$, 1720 (s), 1655 (vs), 1610 (vs), 1560 (vs), $1440(\mathrm{~m}), 980(\mathrm{~m}), 880(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.72(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}$, $3), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.92(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 2.80-2.90(\mathrm{~m}$, 1), 3.29 (s, 1), 3.58 (dd, $1, J=12,2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.83 (s, 2), 3.94 (bd, s, 1 ), 4.03 $(\mathrm{d}, 1, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.81(\mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{s}, 1), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J$ $=16 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.59(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=16,1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative intensity) $417\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-30\right), 368(1), 221(61), 197(26), 181(9), 126$ (40), $109(8), 95(18), 85(15), 69(100)$. Compound 1 was identical in all spectroscopic features ( $360 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, IR) with an authentic sample of tirandamycin A.

Ozonolysis of Tirandamycin A. A solution of tirandamycin A ( 34 mg , 0.10 mmol ) in 75 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; then a stream of ozone ( $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was passed through the solution to produce a dark blue color. After the mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $50 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the resulting solution was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature over 3.5 h . Evaporation of the volatiles in vacuo gave a yellow oil which was purified by rapid column chromatography on silica $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give a mixture of aldehyde $18(68 \%)$ and the unstable enal 3 (13\%). Aldehyde 18: $\mathrm{mp} 123-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right): 1730(\mathrm{~s}), 1110(\mathrm{~s}), 1070(\mathrm{~s}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $0.84(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3)$, 2.27-2.39 (m, 1), 2.52-2.58 (m, 1), $3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1), 3.79(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=12,2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz})$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative intensity) 239 (0.5), 197 (2), 165 (5), 43 (100). Enal 3: amorphous solid, IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1740$ (vs), 1690 (vs); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 0.73$ (d, 3, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.19(\mathrm{~d}, 3, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 1.77(\mathrm{~d}$, $3, J=1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.90-2.02 (m, 1), 2.92-3.01 (m, 1), 3.31 (s, 1), 3.63 (dd, $1, J=12,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.66(\mathrm{dd}, 1, J=10,1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.46$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ ); mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative intensity) $255\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-29,7\right), 237(1)$, 226 (1), 213 (2), 195 (5), 158 (10), 149 (6), 137 (6), 127 (12), 111 (18), 99 (10), 85 (44), 69 (53), 55 (29), 43 (100), 29 (13).
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